Hearing Date: November 5, 2014
Hearing Time: 3:00 PM

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

Chapter 11

LRB Nurses Registry, Inc.,

Case No. 14-42616(CEC)

Debtor.

NOTICE OF CROSS-MOTION OF THE ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF LEYLAND BENN FOR AN ORDER DISMISSING CHAPTER 11 CASE AND PRECLUDING DEBTOR FROM RE-FILING FOR BANKRUPTCY

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Walter Drobenko, attorney for administrators of the Estate of Leyland Benn, will cross move this Court before the Honorable Carla E. Craig, Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the Conrad B. Duberstein Courthouse, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, 271-C Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, New York 11201, on November 5, 2014 at 3 PM or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for the entry of an order dismissing this chapter 11 case, and for such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

The original application and supporting statements are on file with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responsive papers should be filed with the Court, and personally served on the Walter Drobenko, Esq., Drobenko & Associates PC,

Case 1-14-42616-cec Doc 21 Filed 10/12/14 Entered 10/12/14 18:11:46

25-84 Steinway Street, Astoria, New York 11103. Such papers shall conform to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and identify the party on whose behalf the papers are submitted, the nature of the response and the basis for such response.

Dated: October 10, 2014 Astoria, NY

DROBENKO & ASSOCIATES PC

/s/ Walter Drobenko

Walter Drobenko, Esq.
Attorney for Administrators of the
Estate of Leyland Benn
25-84 Steinway Street
Astoria, NY 11103
Tel. No. (718) 721-2000
Fax No. (718) 721-8812

Walter Drobenko, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms the following under the penalties of perjury:

I am the attorney for the Administrators of the Estate of Leyland Benn (hereinafter "the Estate"). The estate owns LRB Nurses Registry, Inc. (hereinafter "LRB").

DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 11 CASE SHOULD BE DISMISSED

- 1. I submit this declaration in support of Estate's Cross Motion to Dismiss and Preclude.
- 2. In addition, I join and I incorporate by reference that part of the U.S. Bankruptcy

 Trustee's motion requesting dismissal of debtor's Chapter 11 case. As such we request
 that this Honorable Court incorporate into our Cross Motion all of Trustee's arguments
 for dismissal in his Motion and his Memorandum of Law.
- 3. The debtor has failed to follow federal statutory law.
- 4. 11 USC Section 1112(b)(4)(F) requires that the debtor file monthly operating reports.

 Debtor has failed to file any monthly operating reports since the commencement of this

- case, in violation of this federal statutory requirement. Reports for May 2014, June 2014, July 2014 and August 2014 are outstanding.
- The debtor has failed to follow this Court's status conference order signed on June 20,
 2014.
- 6. This Court's status conference order directs that debtor:
 - file with the Court, and serve upon the Office of the United States Trustee, monthly operating reports during the pendency of this case...and that the operating reports shall be served and filed on or before the 20th day of the month following the reporting period.
- 7. 11 USC Section 1112(b)(4)(E) requires that the debtor comply with this Court's status conference order. Failure to so comply constitutes cause under this section of the statute to dismiss debtor's Chapter 11 case.
- 8. The debtor is in derogation of this Court's order. It has failed to file operating reports for the months above-stated. "Timely and accurate financial disclosure is the lifeblood of the Chapter 11 process." See *In re Berryhill* and *In re Tornheim*, U.S. Trustee's Memorandum of Law, page 5. In accord with federal statutory law and federal case law debtor's Chapter 11 case must be dismissed.
- 9. "It is well established that bad faith may serve as a ground for dismissal of a bankruptcy petition" *In re Island Helicopters, Inc.*, 211 B.R. 453, 461 (Bankr.EDNY 1997)
- 10. If the timing of the debtor's filing evidences an intent to delay or frustrate the legitimate efforts of the debtor's creditors to enforce their rights, this factors into the Court's decision to dismiss the chapter 11 case for having been brought in bad faith. *C-TC* 9th

 Avenue Partnership v. Norton Co., 113 F.3d 1304 (2d Cir. 1997). Such is the

- case with the petitioner herein.
- 11. On October 1, 2014 the debtor filed a chapter 11 plan of liquidation. Simply put, this plan is too little, too late. Debtor did not even bother to make any application to this Court to request additional time to file the plan.
- 12. Moreover, Debtor's plan lacks substance. It does not comply with statutory requirements, nor does it comport with customary form and substance. The debtor's plan is self-serving and without any evidence to support the ability of the debtor to effectuate the plan. Debtor alleges that they have financing available in order to take care of the creditors. However, there was no evidence submitted to even support that a commitment was issued. The debtor has been for several years representing that the debtor has the ability to refinance and satisfy its debts.
- 13. As late as February 13, 2013, the Supreme Court, Kings County issued an order permitting the Estate to sell the subject property and make the payments to satisfy the Plaintiffs in the Supreme Court Action. Attached hereto as **Exhibit** "A" is a copy of the Supreme Court order dated February 19 2013, which gave Rosemund Norton Benn 90 days to come up with financing and if she did not come up with the financing the Plaintiffs in the Supreme Court action shall have the right to sell the subject property. In response to the said order Nigel Blackman, Esq. on behalf of his client Rosemund Norton Benn, on May 10, 2013, wrote a letter to the Honorable Judge David Schmidt, once again, stating that they have financing to pay the stipulated amount of \$865,000.00. However, once again, there was no financing produced. Attached hereto as **Exhibit** "B" is a copy of Blackman's letter dated May 10, 2013. Therefore, the filing of the Chapter

- 11 Petition was only another stall tactic in an effort to avoid the Supreme Court Trial.
- 14. At the Creditors' Meeting, the Trustee advised Mr. Blackman and Rosemund Norton

 Benn that she had to comply with the Trustee directives. She was directed to open up a

 debtor in possession checking account, she was directed to collect the rent from the tenant
 and deposit same in the debtor in possession account, she was required to file monthly

 operating reports and she was supposed to evict the tenant if she did not collect rent from
 the tenant. However, notwithstanding, she failed to comply with any of the directives.
- 15. Based upon the fact that the debtor did not comply with all of the Trustee's directives, the debtor brought the instant bankruptcy petition in bad faith.
- 16. On October 1, 2014, which was the last appearance before this Court, this Court agreed that the issues between Rosemund Norton Benn and the Estate are better off being decided in Supreme Court Kings County, especially in light of the fact that the case is scheduled to go to trial on November 25, 2014.
- 17. Prior to filing the Chapter 11 Petition, the case was scheduled to go to trial on January 21, 2014. However, Mr. Blackman requested an adjournment in an effort to try to come up with some financing again and it was stipulated that the trial in this matter was to be on or before February 6, 2014 and it was marked "Final". Attached hereto as **Exhibit "C"** is a copy of the so ordered stipulation. Thereafter, Mr. Blackman requested additional adjournments of that trial date, and then filed for bankruptcy May 23, 2014.
- 18. The debtor should be precluded from re-filing for bankruptcy because the instant filing is was brought in bad faith. Preclusions have been granted where the debtor has demonstrated a willingness to abuse the Bankruptcy Code by filing a case in bad faith,

and because the debtor has used the bankruptcy process to delay and frustrate the legitimate efforts of its creditors to enforce their rights, some form of prospective relief is warranted. *In re Hartford & York LLC*, Chapter 11, Debtor. Case No. 13-45563-ess. United States Bankruptcy Court, EDNY, March 13, 2014.

WHEREFORE, based upon the above it is respectfully requested that this Court grant the Cross Motion and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: October 10, 2014 Astoria, NY

DROBENKO & ASSOCIATES PC

/s/ Walter Drobenko

Walter Drobenko, Esq.
Attorney for Administrators of the
Estate of Leyland Benn
25-84 Steinway Street
Astoria, NY 11103
Tel. No. (718) 721-2000
Fax No. (718) 721-8812

,	/
/	12
1	12

	(\$0)			
At an I.A.S. Trial Term,	Part of	the	Suprer	ne
Court of the State of New	York, hel	d in a	nd for t	he
County of Kings, at the	Courtho	use, I	ocated	at
Civic Center, Borough of				
of New York, on the	day of	6	L 20	12

PRESENT:
Hon. Justice

MARCELLE BENN ET. AC

Plaintiff(s)

Cal. No. 2 8 3 Index No. 5647/2010

- against -

ROSEMUND NORTON ET. AL

Defendant(s)

The following papers numbered 1 to read on this motion	Papers Numbered
Notice of Motion - Order to Show Cause	
and Affidavits (Affirmations) Annexed	
Answering Affidavit (Affirmation)	
Reply Affidavit (Affirmation)	
Affidavit (Affirmation)	
Pleadings - Exhibits	
Stipulations - Minutes	
Filed Papers	
	1
	17 3 k

1. DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT RELATING TO

THIS COURTS PRIOR PRECLUSION ORDERS

THIS COURTS PRIOR PRECLUSION ORDERS

TS DENIED, WITHOUT PREJUDICE > 916

MGOA 87

Motion Seq. #

EJV-rev 11-04

E N T E R

S.C.

HON, DAVID I, SOMEDI

2/2

PAGE 2

INDEX# 5647/2010 DATE 2-19-13

PLAINTIFF BENN VS DEFENDANT NORTON ET AC

2. THAT PORTION OF PLAINTIFFS MOTION SEEKING AM ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFES TO SELL THE PROPERTY OWNED BY DEFENDANT LRB NURSES REGISTRY, TOWIT: 4212-4224 CHURCH AVENUE, BROOKLYN A.Y. IS HEREBY GRANTED & THE PLAINTIFFS SHALL TAKE SALE PROCEEDS AND DISTRIBUTE SAME PER THE STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT DECEMBER 18, 2012 AND APPROVED BY THE GUARDIAN AD CITEM KAREN GOLDBERG SAGER, ESQ. 3. THE PLAINTIFFS ARE HEREBY GRANTED THE AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE ANY & ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO SELL THE PROPERTY INCLUDING A DEED & OTHE RELATING CLOSING DOCUMENTS. 4. THE SALE OF THE ABOVE PROPERTY CAN BE MARKETED IMMEDIATELY, HOWEVER CONTRACT OF SALE CANNOT BE ENTERED UNTIL NINETY (90) DAYS FROM TODAY. 5 IN THE EVENT DEFENDANT NORTON SHALL COME UP WITH FINANCING AS REQUIRED THE TERMS OF THE DECEMBER 18, 2012 STIPULATION THE WITHIN NINETY (90) DAYS FROM TODAY THEN THE DEFENDANT SHALL ENTERED/SO ORDERED PAY E & COMPLY WITH THE TERMS OF THE DECEMBER 18,2012 STIPULATION & CLOSE WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS PAGE 2 OF OBTAINING THE FINANCING 6. IF DEFENDANT FAILS TO OBTAIN THE FINANCIAGE WITHIN 90 DAYS, THE PROPERTY SHALL BE SOLD AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH 2 ABOVE

Case 1-14-42616-cec Doc 21 Filed 10/12/14 Entered 10/12/14 18:11:46

BLACKMAN & MELVILLE, PC

Nigel E. Blackman, Esq. Admitted in New York 11 Broadway, Suite 615, New York, NY 10004 Tel: (718) 576-1646. Fax (718) 228-8795 inquiry@bmlawonline.com

Denise A. Melville, Esq. Admitted in New York

May 10, 2013

SENT VIA Facsimile to: (718) 643-7830 Hon. David Schmidt 360 Adams St. Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: Marcelle Benn et al -v- Rosemund Norton et al

Index No.: 005647/2010

Dear. Judge Schmidt,

Please be advised that on or our last appearance in the above referenced case you directed that the defendant, Rosemund Norton obtain financing by Monday, May 13. 2012, or the building should be Ordered to be sold.

During this process we relied upon the promise of the loan originator previously being used and referred by Plaintiff that my client had a commitment for financing. This proved far from accurate; however, my client has obtained on her own a commitment for a loan amount of \$700,000.00 from the *proposed* stipulated amount of \$865,000.00. She has agreed to make alternative arrangements to complete the balance of payment of \$165,000 through her own means over a period of time.

Despite this fact, during the pendency of these negotiations and acquiring of financing there is a Default judgment in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 under Index Number 005037/2009 under case reference, <u>ST. MARTIN ,ALANA – vs- L.R.B. NURSES REGISTRY INC.</u>

We will be filing another motion to vacate the default Judgment and seeking a stay of any executions in that case. Once this is done we should be able to successfully complete this transaction in favor of the Plaintiff in this case.

I have attached a copy of the commitment for information and guidance.

Respectfully

Nigel E. Blackman, Esq.

cc: Walter Drobenko, Esq.

At an I.A.S. Trial Term, Part of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of Kings, at the Courthouse, located at

HON. DAVID I. SCHMIDT

Civic Center, Borough of Brooklyn, City and State of New York, on the 2 / day of TOA/ 20% PRES MARCELLE BENY Cal. No. Plaintiff(s) Index No. - against -Defendant(s) The following papers numbered 1 to read on this motion **Papers Numbered** Notice of Motion - Order to Show Cause and Affidavits (Affirmations) Annexed_ Answering Affidavit (Affirmation)_ Reply Affidavit (Affirmation) Affidavit (Affirmation) Pleadings - Exhibits Stipulations - Minutes Filed Papers_ THE ABOVE CAPTIONED CASE IS HEREOTY ADJOURNED TO FEBRUARY 6, 2014 FOR ATTORNI. For Clerks use only MG MD Motion Seq. # ENTER J.S.C.

EJV-rev 11-04